

1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE		OF PAGES	
J		1		23	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 12-September-2016		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ.	
				PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC HAMPTON ROADS IPT 9742 MARYLAND AVENUE NORFOLK, VA 23511		Code OPHA		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6.)	
				Code	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-16-R-6169	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-August-2016	
				<input type="checkbox"/> 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
<input type="checkbox"/> A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14. ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
<input type="checkbox"/> B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATION CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b).					
<input type="checkbox"/> C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
<input type="checkbox"/> D. OTHER: (specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
TOTAL SMALL BUSINESS DESIGN-BUILD/DESIGN-BID-BUILD MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MACC) FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, ALTERATION, AND REPAIRS FOR PROJECTS IN THE HAMPTON ROADS AREA, VIRGINIA.  <b>N40085-16-R-6169, DESIGN-BUILD CEP-161 BUILDING RENOVATION, NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA (Work Order #1477426)</b>  SEE SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE  You are required to acknowledge ALL amendments in the price proposal form.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Same as Item 8)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY	
				(Signature of Contracting Officer)	
				16C. DATE SIGNED	

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The purpose of this amendment is to provide the following:

1. RESPONSES TO PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPI'S)
2. RFP REVISIONS
3. REVISED ATTACHMENTS

Count / PPI#	Reference			Inquiry	Government Response
	Pg/Dwg	Section	Para		
1		SPEC 01 14 00.05 20	1.5.8	1.5.8 Occupied and Existing Buildings .....The Contractor will remove and relocate specified Government property to Building E-26 prior to the temporary relocation of the occupants of CEP-161. Please specify the property in BLDG E-26 to be relocated. Currently this is not biddable.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
2				Considering the design requirements are a complete gut and replacement of the interior, with the overall appearance possibly changing with new roof and its LPTA, shouldn't plans and elevations of new work be required?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
3				Would linear trench drains in each kennel be allowed instead of corner drains? Also, open troughs are not allowed by the MWD design guide. Trench DRAINS are grated and perform better and are much easier to clean, as the kennel floor can slope to the linear drain from front to back. Open trench drains and drainage troughs (highly unsanitary leading to disease and sickness) are not allowed by the Military Working Dog design guidance. What about the temporary? Are drainage troughs/ trench allowed for a temporary kennel or should linear trench drains be provided for temporary Kennel?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

4				Are clinical service sinks required of the new kennels? These are standard practice in municipal kennels to handle solid animal waste, prior to spray down. This is required of municipal kennels to maintain proper sanitation.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
5		PART 3		Part 3 States: Provide a new smoking pavilion for 10 people adjacent to the relocated dumpster and on the same concrete pad. The smoking pavilion shall include built-in seating, a trash, and ash receptacle with matching lids and a designated smoking area sign per SECNAV Instructions 5100.13E. The smoking pavilion shall be consistent with the design theme of Building CEP-161 architecture and not constructed of wood.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
6				WHAT IS THE SQUARE FOOTAGE FOR THIS SMOKING STATION? it is not listed in room data sheets and /or sq footage is not provided.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
7				Please provide FINAL DD1391 mentioned in Part 3? Not found.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
8		Part 3 – Chapter 6 / ESR D501002		“Provide a switchboard as service equipment.” UFC 3-520-01 3-2.2 states: “Specify panelboards for service entrance equipment when the service is less than 1200A and feeder circuits can be accommodated in one panelboard.” The service to the building will meet the requirements of the UFC. Please confirm that a panelboard is acceptable for service	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

				equipment.	
9		Part 3 – Chapter 6 / ESR D509002		“Provide an emergency natural gas generator for power for the facility. The generator shall provide 24 hours of emergency power.” Please define what is to be backed up by the generator. Is the whole building to be backed up or do we match the existing 30kW?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
10		Part 3 – Chapter 6 / ESR D509002		There is no mention of an automatic transfer switch. Please confirm whether or not ATS is required.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
11		Per Part 3, Paragraph 2.3.9		Antiterrorism Criteria, the facility is required to have a minimum standoff distance of 13 feet from parking and roadways. In the Site Layout in Part 6, it appears the new building addition along 2nd street does not meet this requirement. Please verify.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
12		Part 3, Paragraph 2.3.9		Antiterrorism Criteria, indicates the MWD Kennels are “Low Occupancy” and later states a conventional construction standoff of 39 feet for the kennel. Please clarify – low occupancy facilities do not require ATPF requirements.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

13		Part 3, Chapter 6, Paragraph A1020		Special Foundations: "...new walls for building addition and a new brick exterior after complete demolition of existing building interior walls and foundations". Please clarify the extent (area) of the roof, wall and foundation demolition. The "Demolition Floor Plan" in Part 6 does not identify this demolition – please clarify.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
14		Part 3, Chapter 6, Paragraph A1020		Special Foundations, 2nd paragraph states "The structural capacity of existing foundation elements that are not part of demolition shall be increased as necessary ...to permit safe load transfer to the special foundation system". Please clarify where this occurs. Is the intent to have the entire low roof area be supported on helical piles? Or can existing shallow foundations remain where existing walls/supports remain? Can existing footings be reused in lieu of helical piles?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
15		Part 3, Chapter 6, Paragraph A1020		Special Foundations: Where new brick is added to the existing exterior cmu wall, can existing wall footings be extended to support this brick? Or are helical piles required for support of the brick (but not for the existing cmu wall)?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
16		Part 3, Chapter 6, Paragraph F2010 Building Elements		"Demolition will include but is not limited to: interior bearing and non-loadbearing partitions, interior columns, doors and windows, interior finishes, roof demolition over the main security building including the bar joist and metal deck...." Please clarify whether "roof demolition over the main security building" is to mean the complete demolition over the entire area, or select demolition. Please provide a plan of area to be demolished.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
17		Part 6		" Existing Building Structure" indicates exterior unreinforced masonry walls which are bearing and do not meet the minimum conventional construction setbacks required per "Site Layout". Is the intent to make these "non-loadbearing". Or can we reinforce these walls to harden them to meet AFTP construction?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

18		Existing 1391		RFP indicates 1391 is attached but no attachment was found. Please provide 1391 package.	<p><b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b></p> <p><b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b></p>
19		SPEC 01 35 26 .05 20		Can the Superintendent perform the duties of QC Manager and SSHO for the duration of the project?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
20	PDF 580 / ESR D30 page 4	Part 3 Ch- 6	ESR D30	Paragraph "Zone the HVAC system as follows:" the RFP states "...Each space shall be a separate zone...". This requirement is easily applied to a fan coil unit system, but is impractical for a water source heat pump system. Are we required to provide every room with its own thermal control or can the building be zoned based on occupancy, usage, and exposure, as is typical in HVAC design?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
21	PDF 257	Part 3 Ch- 1	HVAC Para 2	Are all components of tempered air system for kennel area required to meet AT/FP requirements including height above grade for intakes?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
22	PDF 1147	Part 6	Dwg C- 102	RFP indicates a standoff distance of 39 ft for the Kennel, but the kennel is low occupancy, so this shouldn't apply. Please clarify.	<p><b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b></p> <p><b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b></p>

23	PDF 1147	Part 6	Dwg C-102	Site Layout Plan indicates ATRP standoff distance reducing to 26 ft at northwest corner, but there is no explanation for this given in the RFP. Please clarify reason for reduced standoff.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
24	257 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 1	2	<u>For the temporary kennels:</u> Per the Design Guide for MWD Facilities there is no door required between the kennel run and the dog house. The door to the run should swing 180 degrees in order to close the dog house. But - per the RFP both a guillotine door and a 180 degrees gate should be provided at the same location - between the kennel run and the dog house. Please confirm which door is required. note that the partition above the dog house height is a chain link fence so the guillotine door will not attach with full tracks which may potentially injure the dogs.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
25	257 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 1	2	<u>For the temporary kennels:</u> what type of gate should be provided from the outdoor kennels to the exterior corridor? Should this be a chain link fence gate? Size? Plan A-103 shows the gate to be opened to the exterior corridor. Is this correct or should it be opened inside the kennels per the Design Guide for MWD Facilities?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
26	495 of 1290	5. room requir.		<u>MWD Kennels Base Bid:</u> please confirm that the chain link fence and gates shall remain as is in the kennels and do not require any renovation.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

27	495 of 1290 & 799 of 1290	5. room requir.		MWD Kennels Base Bid: acoustical wall panels must be suitable for an exterior environment. Specifications calls for fabric covered panels or wood fiber panels. Page 595 , kennel equipment requires washable acoutical panel (non fabric). Please confirm which one should be used for the kennels applications and whether it should be resistant to damage by scratching.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
28	495 & 525	5. room requir.		Partitions height between kennels (for temporary and bid Option 1): per the Design Guide for MWD Facilities, a full height partition is required for all kennel types. Per the RFP only an 8' partition is required. Please confirm if 8' partition is all that is needed and there is no need for a ceiling (steel clad acoustical ceiling panels or chain link) to enclose the top of the kennels.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
29	257 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 1, page 3	HVAC	Temporary Kennels E-26: "Tempered air to the MWD dog houses shall be hard ducted to the upper back wall..." 1. the temporary runs are <u>outdoor</u> runs and the dog houses will be opened to the run most of the day. How should the outdoor environment be controlled in this location? 2. How can a hard duct be connected to the upper back wall of the dog house if the dog house has a hinged movable metal roof?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
30	593 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50, Page 5	3	The RFP states "Provide an emergency natural gas generator for power for the facility." Is this generator to be sized to backup the entire facility? If not, which loads are to be used for sizing of the generator?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>



31	A-601	Part 6 attachment s	General Notes	The interior finish notes for E-26 indicates the creation of several new spaces with new partitions. Please confirm Mechanical, Electrical and Fire protection work will be required to support these spaces. Also, confirm that existing systems are adequate to accommodate these changes.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
32	257 of 1209	Part 3, Chapter 1, Page 3		Please confirm fire protection is not required for the temporary Kennel.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
33	1149 & 1159	dwgs		C-103 and A-103 shows different locations for the temporary dog exercise area. Please confirm which location is correct. If C-103 location is correct, should there be a fenced walkway to walk the dogs from the kennels to the exercise area? Should the walkway be re-graded? Should it be paved?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
34	1165	dwg		A-201 elevations shows no windows on the police station building. 1. Should all of the existing windows be blocked? 2. Should any other window be provided? 3. Which rooms should receive windows and what size?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
35	1147, 1151, 1153	dwgs		Dwg. AD100 does not indicate the existence of the fenced area to the west of the existing Ready for Issue Room. Dwg. S-101 indicates that there is some wood framing in this area. It was observed during the site visit that this area is an open wood framed structure outside of the existing building envelope. Dwg's. C-101 and C-102 appear to show this area as part of the building footprint, and a new building addition to be constructed to the south of this fenced area. Please clarify that the existing wood structure is to be demolished, and the location/extents of the new building addition. Is the new building addition to be constructed in the location of the demolished open wood structure, or should the addition extend from the location as shown on C-102 to include the area of the demolished open wood structure?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

36	1147	dwg		Dwg C-102 shows the south west addition to the CEP161 building (in the location of the existing generator) such that the 13' minimum stand off distance is protruding out into 2nd street. Should the road be altered so that the building will comply with ATFP requirements?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
37	1147	dwg		Dwg C-102 shows the north east addition to the CEP161 building (in the location of the existing public entrance) such that the 13' minimum stand off distance is protruding out into Taussig Blvd. Is there an ATFP report that shows how this addition to the building can comply with ATFP requirements?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
38	154	01 45 00.05 20	j.	"conduct bi weekly QC meetings with DQCM and DOR..." The schedule for this project is 885 days which can be about 60 bi-weekly meetings. should both DQCM and DOR participate in each QC meeting throughout the construction period?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
39	257 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 1	5	A 5' gate is called out to be constructed for the dog run, however on page 1149 of 1290 (E-26 Temporary Kennel Layout) there is a callout for an 8 foot wide gate. Please confirm which gate is required.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
40	257 of 1290	Part 3, Chapter 1	4	Paragraph 4 states "Wood will not be allowed for construction within the areas directly inhabited by the MWDs", however in paragraph 6 on the same page it states The entire facility to be enclosed with an 8' high staggered slat wood fence." Please confirm if wood fence is allowed.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
41	-	ESR D30	-	The two mechanical systems described in the RFP is a four pipe fan coil system and a water cooled heat pump system. It is not clear at this time if either of these two systems will meet the energy conservation targets required in other parts of the RFP. Please clarify. Would a variable refrigerant flow (VRF) system be allowed?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

42	-	ESR D20	-	Please confirm that the existing domestic water, sanitary waste and vents, and natural gas can be reused for the temporary personnel move to building E-26	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
43	-	ESR D40	-	Please confirm that there is an existing sprinkler system in building E-26 and that it only needs to be minor modifications to accommodate the temporary move of personnel.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
44	2	Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR F20	F20100 1	The RFP indicates that the existing low roof structure of the main security building is to be demolished with the higher roof portion to remain. Dwg. A-201 shows a new hipped roof constructed over this existing roof to remain. Are the joist sizes known for this portion of roof to remain? Only joist depth information is available in the existing record drawing information. Has a review of the existing roof capacity been conducted to determine that the existing joists can support a new roof overbuild?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
45	1	Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR B10	-	The RFP indicates that the remaining exterior masonry walls are to be braced until construction of the new lateral force resisting system (LFRS) is in place. Please clarify if there is a specific LFRS that is desired/anticipated.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
46	3	Part 3, Chapter 2	2.3.9	Please clarify the AT/FP standoff requirements. Some of the standoff distances conflict with the standoff distances shown on Dwg. C-102.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

47	3	Part 3, Chapter 2	2.3.9	<p>Please clarify the AT/FP requirements. Due to the proximity of the existing building to the two adjacent roads (Admiral Taussig Blvd. and 2nd St.), the minimum standoff requirement of the 13 feet is not possible at the north side of the building and at the new addition on the west side of the building. This is already shown on Dwg. C-102. Typically, standoffs less than the minimum are not permitted. UFC 4-010-01 indicates that for existing buildings, standoffs less than the minimum are allowed, but discouraged. Please clarify that it is the intent of the RFP to retrofit and harden the existing walls and have the western addition and the existing Northern wall with standoffs less than the minimum.</p>	<p><b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b></p> <p><b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b></p>
48	1	Part 3, Chapter 1	1.0	<p>The RFP indicates that the site and building will be modified to comply fully with the AT/FP requirements of UFC 4-010-01 as defined by the "CEP-161 antiterrorism report dated 10 MAR 2016." Is this report available for review, as it may help explain the AT/FP requirements given the existing building and site conditions. This report was not found in the reference documents of Part 6 of the RFP.</p>	<p><b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b></p> <p><b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b></p>
49	1	Part 3, Chapter 1	1.0	<p>The RFP indicates that the "...MWD Kennel's office areas are classified as a 'primary gathering' buildings..." and "...the kennels are considered a 'low occupancy' building..." These two areas are within the same existing PEMB structure with no structural separation between the two areas. UFC 4-010-01 permits separate occupancies within the same building only when the two areas are structurally isolated. As such, the standoff and hardening requirements would be the same for the whole building based on the "primary gathering" occupancy. Please clarify the intent of the RFP regarding upgrades and hardening requirements of the PEMB kennel building.</p>	<p><b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b></p> <p><b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b></p>

50	-	Amendme nt 1	-	Amendment 1 removed the DD1391 document from the Part 6 reference information. However this document is referenced multiple times in the RFP regarding AT/FP requirements. Is this document available for review, as it may help explain the AT/FP requirements given the existing building and site conditions	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
51	-	-	-	Regarding the temporary relocation of the Police and Security Operations Facility to building E-26: Are there any AT/FP design consideration related to the temporary relocation?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
52	1	Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR B10	-	Please clarify if the Risk Category provided (IV) and the Wind Exposure category provided (D) also applies to the new temporary kennel. If not, what are the appropriate categories?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
53	A-201	Part 6 attachmen ts	N/A	Dig. A-201 shows what is assumed to be a flat roof portion in the center of the new roof plan. Please clarify the intent of the RFP for this portion of the roof. Is this area intended for mechanical equipment and other equipment? Please clarify. Is there a specific design load that should be assumed for this portion of the roof?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
54	5	01 45 00.05 20	1.4.1, d	Please consider Waiving the 4 year college degree requirement. This personnel is not available in the current market. Cost for this personnel will be high for project that will likely be over budget. The requirement also seems discriminatory in that qualified non-degreed QCMs cannot fill the position. Please advise if the 4 yr. degree requirement can be waived.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
55	10	01 45 00.05 20	1.4.4.2	Please consider revising this paragraph's requirements. The requirement is clearly boiler plate as there is no elevator for the project. QC specialists of the type typically cost in excess of \$100/hr. The cost for this personnel will be high for project that will likely be over budget. The project will be tested and commissioned in accordance with RFP. This requirement is overkill. Please advise if this paragraph will a	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

				contract requirement.	
56	4, 1	Part 3, Chap. 2 Part 3, Chap. 4	2.4, 4.3	"Provide exterior materials and colors compatible with Naval Station Norfolk's Exterior Architectural Plan, the adjacent Fire Station, Building CEP-178, and consistent with a police facility." With respect to materials, is brick and split face block similar to CEP-178 and as depicted on the concept elevations provided in part 6 a contract requirement.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
57	263	Bid Option 2	1	The Concept Site Plan on page 1147 shows the Demolition of the existing storm drain system under Building CEP-205 and a new Storm System for the same area. If this option is not awarded, will the Storm Sytem work still be required and if so, what is to be done to the existing system that is under the building?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
58				Can the existing utility lines, (sewer, water, etc), be cut, capped and left in place under the existing slab or will they need to be removed?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
59	563	Part 3 Chapter 6	C30	Is SSPC QP1 Certification required?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
60	636	Part 3 Chapter 6	G40	Building E-26. Says to provide site lighting where new dog kennel will reside. What are the requirements for lighting in this area?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
61	613	Part 3 Chapter 6	F2020 1.1	Please confirm that a First Tier PQP is required and can not be an employee of the subcontractor.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

62		Section 3.2.1.1		24' gates are noted in Section 3.2.1.1 as being "automated". Pavement in the area is scheduled for a mill and overlay. RFP doesn't show new underground or overhead utilities for gate power and control. Will it require CCTV / fiber optic lines for control? Can power come from existing nearby light poles which also require relocation?	SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1
63		Dwg C-102		New concrete entrance into parking lot on plan north end. RFP doesn't specify any ADA ramp but the new entrance should use one on both sides. Are these to be included in the scope of work?	BID PER RFP
64		Dwg C-102		Does the Government have any topographic survey information to allow the designer to insure that the installation of new and removal of existing parking lot entrance drives will not create adverse drainage issues along Admiral Taussig Drive?	BID PER RFP
65		Dwg C-102		Does the No Parking area adjacent to the building require any specific striping? None is shown in RFP.	BID PER RFP
66		Dwg C-102		Aerial photo shows equipment stored in plan southeast portion of lot requiring slurry seal. Will that equipment need to be moved prior to placing seal?	BID PER RFP
67		Dwg C-102		RFP calls for demolition and removal of fence and gate near existing trailers. What type of repairs will need to be made to the area post demolition?	SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1
68		Dwg C-102		Will storm sewer demolition at plan south require pavement demolition or abandoned in place with concrete fill?	BID PER RFP
69		Dwg C-102		New 12' Security Gate along plan southwest - will that require power / CCTV / fiber?	SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO

					<b>DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
70		Dwg C-102		Plan Southwest - RFP states to remove sanitary manhole and associated service and to abandon service into building. The manhole appears to be within the limits of the existing sidewalk - removal will require replacement of sidewalk. Will contractor need some type of pedestrian control to close sidewalk during construction?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
71		Dwg C-102		Plan Southwest - RFP requires new sanitary manhole connection - based upon aerial photo, looks like existing sanitary pipe is underneath the existing sidewalk and may require more sidewalk demo / replace to install new sanitary structure	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
72		Dwg C-102		Plan south "New Storm Pipe and inlet". New pipe connects to an existing structure from a different angle - can Government provide as-built drawings of existing structure to insure it can handle new connection or clarify if a new structure will be required?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04  REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
73		Dwg C-102		We assume all material being demolished and removed is to be hauled off site. Is there anywhere on base for disposal of this waste?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
74		Space Program		There are quite a few break rooms called out for such a small building; can these be combined and centralized?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>



75		Section 01 33 29.05 20		Is LEED Certification required? Section 01 33 29.05 20 Sustainability Requirements for Design Build does not reference LEED, however LEED is listed as a Reference Criteria in other sections of the RFP. The NAVFAC Sustainability and Energy Data sheet lists Sustainability Third Party Rating System as "Other" and Sustainability Certification Target as "Other". Please define what "Other" is for this project. Document goes on to reference LEED Criteria for savings calculations. "Performance Assessment Plan" also asks what LEED Points can be obtained. "Sustainability Notebook - an Overview of Requirements" and the "NAVFAC Integrated Design Checklist" also note LEED requirements, but this is not supported elsewhere in the RFP.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
76		Space Program		Can print areas be combined? LEED has specific requirements for Print Areas and combining would be more efficient "If LEED certification is required"	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
77		RFP Part 3, section 1.0 and C-102		RFP Part 3, section 1.0 indicates an addition of 1,124 SF (84'-2" x 13'-4") on the southwest corner of the building; however the proposed site plan sheet C-102 only indicates an addition of approximately 40' in length. Can you confirm which is correct, the RFP or the Site Plan?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
78				Related to the addition of 1,124 SF (84'-2" x 13'-4") on the southwest corner of the building, is the existing building area within the fenced area on the west side of the building to be demolished?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
79				The RFP appears to require that everything in the original CMU building is to be demolished "except" the exterior CMU walls. These walls are noted as assumed unreinforced. There will be extensive cost and challenge to make these walls meet AT/FP, Air Barrier, Insulation and other criteria. Is it a potential to remove the existing exterior walls and replace with new in same location if deemed cost effective?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

80		RFP Part 3, Section B201003		RFP Part 3, Section B201003 indicates to "Provide continuous insulation, vapor retarder, water resistive barrier and air barrier...". To do this and match the veneer aesthetics of the fire station will require additional construction 'outside' of the existing building perimeter or replacement of the existing exterior wall system. If these systems are 'added' to the exterior of the existing wall, have the building square footage calculations taken into account the increase in building area resulting from the increased wall thickness?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
81				With the RFP indications to remove the roof structure in it's entirety, is there any requirement to keep the existing roof height step or can the new roof be installed at a consistent height across the facility? Is there any required minimum clear under structure height required by the Government?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
82		C-103		For the Temporary Kennel, Drawing C-103 indicates the Dog Exercise Area to be remote from the Temporary Kennel, where drawing A-103 indicates the Dog Run to be attached to the Temporary kennel. Can you confirm which is preferred or required?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
83		C-103		For the Temporary Kennel, can you confirm if the intent if for all spaces to be "Open Air" with the exception of the support spaces on the end of the building? Or is the interior corridor to be enclosed and only the dog runs open air?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
84		RFP Part 3, section 2.3.9		RFP Part 3, section 2.3.9 AT/FP notes a minimum standoff of 13' from roadways and parking. The existing building is only separated by approximately 4 or 5 feet from Admiral Taussig Blvd; how is the AT/FP requirement of 13' anticipated to be met in this and other locations in the building where existing roadways encroach within the minimum standoff distance?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

85				For Kennel Run, the dimensions noted in "Design Guide for Military Working Dog Facilities" are 8'x10'. It is the assumption that these are "clear dimensions" however RFP drawing A-103 notes overall outside of wall dimensions that do not comply with this requirement. Please clarify if the 8'x10' dimensions are to be clear inside kennel run dimensions	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
86				For Kennel Run, the dimensions noted in "Design Guide for Military Working Dog Facilities" are 8'x10'. It is the assumption that these are "clear dimensions" however RFP drawing A-105 notes clear inside of wall dimensions that do not comply with this requirement. Please clarify if the 8'x10' dimensions are to be clear inside kennel run dimensions and if the RFP is instructing the proposers to provide kennel run sizes in the Option 1 Design that will not comply with the Government Design Guide. Same question for Design Guide dimension of 4'x4' for the doghouse; is this clear inside dimension.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
87				For the FF&E and A/V Modifications to the contract, is the government looking for each of these mods to be inclusive of A/E design efforts for each or will these 'only' include contractor procurement and installation costs with design efforts to be by Government?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
88		RFP Part 3, Section C103004		RFP Part 3, Section C103004 indicates interior signage to be part of the Architectural Drawings "and" to be coordination with the FF&E. How is this impacted if the FF&E Modification is not awarded during the design phase?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
89		RFP Part 3, Section C103007		RFP Part 3, Section C103007 indicates Fire Extinguisher Cabinets are to be part of the FF&E. How is this impacted if the FF&E Modification is not awarded during the design phase?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
90		RFP Part 3, Section C103009		RFP Part 3, Section C103009 indicates A/V design is to be part of the design. How is this impacted if the A/V Modification is not	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

				awarded during the design phase?	
91		RFP Part 3, Section B20		RFP Part 3, Section B20; Is it allowable by the RFP to replace the exterior CMU walls or are we required to maintain the existing unreinforced CMU walls as part of "Repair by replacement"?	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
92		A-201		Is the location of the roof mechanical area allowed to be located as deemed appropriate by the A/E or must it be central to the roof?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
93		RFP Part 3, Section B203004		RFP Part 3, Section B203004 notes two roll-up doors to be provided into the Kennel area. Please confirm this is "only" as part of Bid Option #1, Kennel Renovations. Not indicated as such in this section.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
94		C-101		Is an additional personnel gate required from the secure parking area to access the smoking shelter adjacent to the dumpster or is this to be through the primary gate and turnstile?	<b>BID PER RFP</b>
95		RFP Section D30		RFP Section D30 indicates to "provide" certain systems, but unlike other sections (i.e. D40 Fire Protection) it does not indicate "Demolish existing..." Please confirm that existing systems for the police building are to be demolished in their entirety and there is not expectation that systems will be maintained.	<b>BID PER RFP</b>

96		RFP - Multiple sections		RFP is contradictory on the requirement to provide generator backup. RFP Part 3 "Ready for Issue Room at CEP-161" notes to provide generator backup (appears to be only for this room). RFP Part 3, section 3.2.2 Utilities #4 notes adding generator to support building loads (is this to power entire building?). RFP section D509002 indicates to provide an emergency natural gas generator. Part 6, section G40 notes to turn over existing generator. Part 6 notes to provide new electrical utility distribution system but does not note emergency generator. Part 6 NAVFAC Design & Construction Environmental Compliance Checklist indicates "No" generator will be installed. Part 6 Spec Section 28 31 76.00 22 is unedited and does not provide clarification.	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>
97		RFP - Multiple sections		RFP is contradictory on the requirement to provide lightning protection. Part 3 Section B301090 indicates to "Provide" lightning protection. Part 3, Section D506004 says "Not Used". Please confirm if Lightning Protection is required for this project. Part 4, B301090 addresses lightening protection. Part 4, D509004 says "when required".	<b>SEE AMENDMENT 04</b>  <b>REFER TO DESIGN REVISION 1</b>

## **RFP REVISIONS**

### **PART 2 – SECTION 01 14 00 .05 20**

#### **1.5.8 Occupied and Existing Buildings**

The Contractor will remove and relocate specified Government property to Building E-26 prior to the temporary relocation of the occupants of CEP-161.

Add second sentence to read: "Specified Government property to include existing lockers from the Male and Female locker rooms, existing weapons racks from the RFI room and 12 wall filing cabinets (each one measures 7' height x 2' length x 1' width."

Add third sentence to read: New weapons racks to be provides for the temporary RFI Room. Provide 700 pistols and 80 M4 racks for RFI Room. These racks are to be open style, lockable racks.

**PART 6 – ATTACHMENTS Documents provided on disc only:**

The FINAL DD1391 document was removed from the bid package.

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D501002 Service Entrance**

Equipment: Delete second sentence.

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D509002 Emergency Lighting and Power:**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

“Size the emergency generator to feed the entire facility and provide an Automatic Transfer Switch.”

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D509002 Emergency Lighting and Power:**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

“Size the emergency generator to feed the entire facility and provide an Automatic Transfer Switch.”

Room Requirements for the Kennels

Add “Partitions height between kennels (for temporary and bid Option 1) is to be 8’-0” with chain link ceilings to enclose the top of the kennels.”

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D509002 Emergency Lighting and Power:**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

“Size the emergency generator to feed the entire facility and provide an Automatic Transfer Switch.”

**A-103 E-26 Temporary Kennel Layout** - Delete Temporary dog exercise area.

**PART 3, CHAPTER 2, 2.3.9 Antiterrorism Criteria**

Delete 5th paragraph.

**PART 3, CHAPTER 6, G20 Parking Lots**

Delete 1th paragraph. Add 1st paragraph “Inside of gated area on east side of building, provide parking for a maximum amount of vehicles while meeting the applicable Antiterrorism setback requirements (minimum of 48-spaces required). Outside of the gated area, provide parking substantially as shown on C-102 included in Part 6”

**PART 3, CHAPTER 1, Bid Option 2 – CEP-161 Building Demolition**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

“2. If this option is not exercised, the existing storm drain pipe around CEP-205 shown to be demolished shall be replaced around the building and existing pipe under CEP-205 shall be capped on both ends and filled with flowable fill. This work shall be included in the base bid.”

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D50 Site Electrical Utilities, System Description Building E-26:**

Add a sentence to the first paragraph to read as follows:

“Provide 5 footcandles at grade.”

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 3, 3.2.1.1 Site Development:**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

“Provide separate underground circuits for power, telecommunications and CCTV from building to both vehicular gate and pedestrian turnstile.”

**PART 3, CHAPTER 6, B201001 Exterior Closure**

**Add sentence to the end to read as follows:**

"The exterior unreinforced masonry building walls may be demolished if it is shown to be more cost effective than re-engineering the existing walls."

**PART 3, CHAPTER 6, B201001 Exterior Closure**

Add sentence to the end to read as follows:

"The exterior unreinforced masonry building walls may be demolished if it is shown to be more cost effective than re-engineering the existing walls."

**PART 3 - Section B203004**

The two roll up doors which are to be provided as exterior doors for the Kennel are to be included in both the existing kennel work and/or as part of Bid Option #1.

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D509002 Emergency Lighting and Power:**

Add a sentence to the end to read as follows:

"Size the emergency generator to feed the entire facility and provide an Automatic Transfer Switch."

**PART 3 – CHAPTER 6, ESR D509004 Lightning Protection:**

Delete "Not Used" and add sentences to read as follows:

"Provide a complete lightning protection system with UL Lightning Protection Inspection Certificate certified to UL 96A, including, but not necessarily limited to, strike termination devices, conductors, ground terminals, interconnecting conductors, surge protective devices, and other connectors and fittings required for a complete and usable system. Lightning Protection Systems shall not void the roof warranty."

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Revised documents included with this amendment:

1. 20160909 C-101 revised.pdf, date: 9 September 2016, "Revision 1"
2. 20160909 C-102 revised.pdf, date: 9 September 2016, "Revision 1"